



FINAL DRAFT REPORT REVIEW CHECKLIST

Project Development Documents

| | | | |
|------------------|--|---------------------------------|---------------------------------|
| Project | Alpine, Texas Regional Solid Waste Management Facility | | |
| BECC Number: | 2013 | Contract Number: | Project Engineer: Jessica Gamez |
| Project sponsor | City | Consultant | Naismith Engineering, Inc |
| Project Type: | Solid Waste | | |
| Date Step I | June 11, 2008 | Date of possible certification: | NA |
| Date of Document | May 2008 | Checking date: | June 11, 2008 |

Draft PER Review

GENERAL COMMENTS

The Facility Plan seems to be complete to a 60% as expected. The main sections that are missing are a “Selection of an Alternative” that includes detailed cost breakdown, “Proposed Project,” and “Conclusions and Recommendations.”

1. BACKGROUND

p. 2 Sec 1.3.1 Location: first list has an extra bullet.

p. 7 Sec 1.4 Description of Solid Waste: second bullet, last line maybe should be “disposed: as opposed to “disposal.”

2. PUBLIC INVOLVEMENT

No comments.

3. LEGAL AUTHORITY FOR SOLID WASTE MANAGEMENT

No comments.

4. CURRENT PRACTICES ANALYSIS

p. 21 Sec4.3 Solid Waste Budget and Base Cost: Last paragraph of that section, line 6: 97% should probably be (7%). The line following that, \$118.43ton should probably be \$118.43/ton.

5. WASTE MANAGEMENT ALTERNATIVES

- p. 26 Sec 5.1 Alternative 1 – Waste Diversion: first complete paragraph, line 1: “tp” should probably be “tpd.”
- p. 26 third bullet, end of first line the word “a” is repeated twice.
- p. 27 Sections 5.2.3.1. and 5.2.3.2 have the same title, is this correct?
- p. 29 Sec 5.2.4 Composting: second paragraph, second line, maybe insert the word “in” between “branches” and “small.”

6. INSTITUTIONAL MANAGEMENT ISSUES

- p. 44 Sec 6.3.1.2 Grant and Loan Funding Sources: second bullet where it talks about BECC’s technical assistance: the Technical Assistance can only be used for planning (Facility Plan and Environmental Information Document) and cannot provide 50% of the total project cost like mentioned in this section.

Report

| | E | G | R | P | N/A |
|---------------------|--------------------------|-------------------------------------|--------------------------|--------------------------|--------------------------|
| Compliments SOW | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> |
| Editing Quality | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> |
| Maps | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> |
| Drawings | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> |
| Graphs | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> |
| Appendix | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> |
| Attached documents | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> |
| Report Structure | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> |
| Implementation Plan | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> |

E = EXCELLENT G= GOOD R= REGULAR P = POOR N/A = NOT APPLICABLE

Control:

| | | Name: | Date | Signature |
|----------|--|---------------|------------|-----------|
| Checked | | Jessica Gamez | 06/11/2008 | |
| Verified | | | | |